AYLESBURY VALE INFRASTRUCTURE DELIVERY PLAN

1 Purpose

1.1 To inform Committee of this work and seek input into the development of an Infrastructure Development Plan to support the planned growth over the next 20 years and guide the introduction of a Community Infrastructure Levy for the District.

2 Recommendations/for decision

2.1 Members are recommended to note the Report & provide any comments on this on-going work in particular whether there are any areas where they would wish for further work to be done or to explore requirements with service providers.

3 Supporting information

Vale Of Aylesbury Plan

- 3.1 Members are reminded that the Vale of Aylesbury Plan (Strategy) proposes 13,500 new houses over the Plan period to 2031, 6,000 of which are still to be planned for. The Council needs to demonstrate that the policies and proposals contained within the Vale of Aylesbury Plan will be delivered in a sustainable way and that includes identifying any crucial infrastructure requirements.
- 3.2 It is important to distinguish between three different sides to securing infrastructure through the Vale of Aylesbury Plan.
- 3.3 Firstly there will be infrastructure that shapes the Plan. This will be locationally-fixed infrastructure that can only realistically be delivered through developments or where a substantial proportion of it is provided directly as part of developments. An example of this is the Eastern Link Road at Aylesbury, which is not going to realistically secure full funding from the public purse in the foreseeable future, but which is seen as a very high priority. In such cases the need to secure the delivery of infrastructure effectively dictates some allocations through the Vale of Aylesbury Plan.
- 3.4 The second aspect is strategic infrastructure which will not be delivered directly by development "on-site", but where developments will be making a financial contribution towards that delivery elsewhere. At present this is generally secured through S106 developer contributions, but in future this will be dealt with through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Examples of this would include East-West Rail and infrastructure serving the District as a whole.
- 3.5 The third category is non-strategic infrastructure that is a requirement as part of development wherever that development is located and whatever its magnitude. This relates primarily to infrastructure directly linked to the additional needs of the additional development and will generally be "local" facilities. However this is generally infrastructure required by the development itself, and so cannot be looked upon as an infrastructure "gain" for the broader area.

3.6 On larger schemes this latter category is likely to be provided on-site directly by the developer (e.g. primary schools, community and sport facilities or equipped areas of play as part of the play provision requirements). On smaller schemes this may come through financial contributions to improving facilities in the locality (e.g. sport & leisure improvements) and so in delivery terms may land up being secured under a mixture of S106 agreements and CIL. Affordable Housing also falls under this category as it is proportionate to the overall level of development and generally provided on site rather than through financial contributions.

Infrastructure Delivery Plan

- 3.7 To this end, there is an emphasis in both the VAP and CIL processes on the need to identify infrastructure requirements necessary to support the anticipated development and growth across the district. This report sets out the initial thinking on infrastructure requirements set out in the form of an Infrastructure Development Plan (IDP) for the planned growth.
- 3.8 The IDP has been developed based on two major strands of information gathering. Firstly from a review of existing legal agreements detailing what infrastructure provision is already signed up to by outstanding developments such as the Berryfields MDA at Aylesbury and the London Road Development at Buckingham. Secondly, from discussions with specific service providers including utility companies.
- 3.9 Members will appreciate that what goes in an IDP is primarily informed by the responses and requirements of the service provider and that this may differ from what is perceived by others as the key infrastructure requirements.
- 3.10 Infrastructure planning needs to identify as far as possible infrastructure needs and costs, timescales and responsibilities for delivery and funding sources. These are set out in the schedules of the IDP attached as Appendix 1 to this report. The IDP includes three time periods short term, medium term and long term. Some of the elements are quite specific, especially in the short term whereas others are expressed in a formulaic way, especially in the medium to long term.
- 3.11 The IDP included as Appendix 1 is a draft and even the final version will remain as a 'live' document to be updated throughout the life of the plan period (2011-2031). This will be required so as to accurately reflect current and future infrastructure requirements and allow programming of projects in accordance with reviews of the CIL and annual funding allocation processes, plus any wider changes implemented by successive Governments. It is also clear that some service providers have found it easier to predict and justify future requirements than others.

Community Infrastructure Levy

- 3.12 In addition, the IDP will form a key element of the evidence base to inform the justification of the Council's proposed Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule.
- 3.13 The Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) is a new levy that local authorities can choose to charge on new developments in their area to fund necessary infrastructure. By providing additional infrastructure to support development of

an area, CIL is expected to have a positive economic effect on development across an area in the medium to long term.

3.14 The CIL legislation came into force in 2010 and will largely replace Section 106 developer contributions as the means to fund off-site infrastructure. In order to continue to require contributions to off-site infrastructure requirements, local authorities must have a CIL in place by April 2014. The Council is proposing to bring a CIL into effect before April 2014, which will replace our existing developer contributions regime for new and future development approved after adoption.

Delivery & Priorities

- 3.15 Members will note that it is not the role of the IDP to prioritise what infrastructure elements should be delivered, and in particularly what funds should be allocated to the delivery of infrastructure. These decisions will be taken by the relevant individual service providers and, in the future for CIL through the governance arrangements which will need to be established to allocate CIL monies.
- 3.16 It should not be expected that all infrastructure identified in the IDP will be delivered, within the timeframes identified, or at all. Inclusion of infrastructure schemes within this IDP does not automatically result in schemes being included on the Council's list for schemes to be funded through CIL. It is anticipated that some of the schemes included within the IDP may be funded, or part funded, through CIL but may also receive funding from other sources such as Growing Places Fund; Local Major Transport Funding and the service providers own capital programme or funding regime.

Conclusion

3.17 This report is intended to provide an initial overview of the continuing work on infrastructure planning and allow the Committee the opportunity to review the Infrastructure Delivery Plan in the current form. The importance of providing infrastructure to accompany growth is fully appreciated and Members are asked to consider whether there are any particular areas of the IDP which they would wish to investigate in greater depth. If Members do wish to look at any particular aspects further they may wish to consider the most appropriate ways to take this forward (e.g. a smaller task and finish group who could meet with particular service providers).

4 **Resource implications**

4.1 Preparing the IDP can be met within the existing Forward Plans work programme budget. The delivery of the infrastructure has far more significant resource implications, especially given the current state of development economics and the public sector finances.

5 Response to Key Aims and Objectives

5.1 To enable the delivery of the Vale of Aylesbury Plan in line with the Corporate Plan.

Contact Officer	Andy Kirkham 01296 585462
Background Documents	

Appendix 1

Vale of Aylesbury Plan: Infrastructure Delivery Plan

September 2012

Contents

Purpose of the Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP)

The Infrastructure Delivery Plan (IDP) forms part of the evidence base of the Vale of Aylesbury Plan). The VAP establishes the strategic planning framework for Aylesbury Vale district which will help deliver planned growth for the district to 2031.

There is an increased emphasis on the need to identify infrastructure requirements necessary to support the current and planned development and growth across the district. The IDP sets out the current infrastructure requirements. The IDP will also form part of the evidence base to inform the justification for the planned Aylesbury Vale Council's Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) Charging Schedule.

The IDP addresses the issue of infrastructure provision by identifying infrastructure requirements and issues regarding deliverability (a where, when and how approach).

What is infrastructure

The first task of the drafting of the IDP is to identify a definition of infrastructure. The South East Plan (SEP) in Policies CC7 and Policy CC8 (for green infrastructure) sets out following:

Infrastructure Sectors	Infrastructure Element			
Transport	Airports, ports, road network, cycling and			
	walking infrastructure,			
	rail network			
Housing	Affordable Housing			
Education	Further and higher education			
	Secondary and primary education			
	Nursery schools			
Health	Acute care and general hospitals, mental			
	hospitals			

	Health centres / primary care trusts	
Social Infrastructure	Supported accommodations	
	Social and community facilities	
	Sports facilities and leisure centres	
Green Infrastructure	Is a strategically planned network of high quality multi-functional green space and interconnecting links in, urban and rural areas with environmental features designed in delivering a wide range of environmental social and economic quality of life benefits for local communities (definition derived from NPPF & AVDC GI Strategy).	
	The following areas can form part of networks of green infrastructure: Urban and country parks, formal gardens, Natural & semi-natural urban greenspaces Green corridors (including river & canal banks, cycleways & rights of way) Outdoor sports facilities Amenity greenspaces Provision for children & teenagers (including play areas, skateboard parks, outdoor basketball hoops and other more informal areas) Allotments, community gardens and city (urban) farms Cemeteries & churchyards Accessible countryside in urban fringe areas River & canal corridors	

	Green roofs and walls	
Public Services	Waste management and disposal	
	Libraries	
	Cemeteries	
	Emergency services (police, fire, ambulance)*	
	Places of worship	
	Prisons, drug treatment centres	
Utilities	Services Gas Supply	
	Electricity Supply	
	Heat Supply	
	Waste water treatment	
	Telecommunications infrastructure	
Flood defences	Improve water resource and flood management which Includes sustainable drainage systems	

While it is the Government's intention to abolish the SEP the above is comprehensive and is used as the basis for the schedule detailed in the Appendix.

Structure of the Report

The IDP includes individual chapters relating to different sectors of infrastructure. Each chapter identifies the elements of infrastructure required to support growth in the district, when required and commentary regarding deliverability. This is brought together in a detailed Infrastructure Schedule at the end of the document.

The role of the IDP is not to prioritise what infrastructure elements should be delivered, and in particularly what funds should be allocated to the delivery of infrastructure. These decisions will be taken by individual service providers and, in relation to CIL through the governance arrangements established to allocate CIL monies.

On this basis, it should not be expected that all infrastructure identified in the IDP will be, within the timeframes identified, or at all. Inclusion of infrastructure schemes within this IDP does not automatically result in schemes being included on the (future) Council's list for schemes to be funded through CIL. It is anticipated that some of the schemes included within the may be funded, or part funded, through CIL but may also receive funding from other sources.

The IDP is intended to be a live document and planning tool, so regular reviews will be required to keep it up to date. The Council, together with its partners will need to look at both updating and for ways to fund and provide the necessary infrastructure. Moreover, the Vale of Aylesbury Plan looks forward to 2031 – over this period there will be significant change to the wants, needs and requirements of infrastructure serving the District population. This document will change over time and increasingly infrastructure will be delivered through growth.

Aylesbury Vale District Infrastructure Overview

In the current and foreseeable future economic climate there will not be substantial amounts of public funding to deliver "big-ticket" strategic infrastructure. Additionally, development economics means that schemes cannot bear the same sort of burden of developer contributions as they have in the past (or public perception suggest they can) and still remain viable. In reality we need to be taking an approach which looks towards all opportunities to deliver infrastructure, provided these are balanced against the impact of the development concerned. It is important to distinguish between three different sides to securing infrastructure through the Vale of Aylesbury Plan.

Firstly there will be locationally-fixed infrastructure that can only realistically be delivered through developments or where a substantial proportion of it is provided directly as part of developments. An example of this is the Eastern Link Road at Aylesbury, which is not going to realistically secure full funding from the public purse in the foreseeable future, but which is seen as a very high priority. In such cases the need to secure the delivery of infrastructure effectively dictates some allocations through the Vale of Aylesbury Plan

The second aspect is strategic infrastructure which will not be delivered directly by development "on-site", but where developments will be making a financial contribution towards that delivery elsewhere. At present this is generally secured through S106 developer contributions, but in future this will be dealt with through the Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL). Examples of this would include East-West Rail and infrastructure serving the District as a whole.

The third category is non-strategic infrastructure that "rolls out with the carpet" as part of development wherever that development is located and whatever its magnitude. This relates primarily to infrastructure directly linked to the additional needs of the additional development and will generally be "local" facilities. However this is generally infrastructure required by the development itself, and so cannot be looked upon as an infrastructure "gain" for the broader area. On larger schemes this is likely to be provided on-site

directly by the developer (e.g. primary schools, community and sport facilities or equipped areas of play as part of the play provision requirements). On smaller schemes this may come through financial contributions to improving facilities in the locality (e.g. sport & leisure improvements) and so in delivery terms may land up being secured under a mixture of S106 agreements and CIL. Affordable Housing also falls under this category as it is proportionate to the overall level of development and generally provided on site rather than through financial contributions.

Throughout the VAP preparation process there has been a strong pressure for it to be infrastructure (and jobs) led. In reality this relates mainly to the overall level of growth chosen (and therefore the overall infrastructure "pot" that will generate), and how the location and magnitude of development can directly secure the provision of strategic transport infrastructure. This transport infrastructure element is at the fore of decisions at Aylesbury and was a key factor in the Strategic Development Control Committee's decision to support the Aylesbury East major development scheme. The other way in which infrastructure directly drives the magnitude or distribution of growth in VAP is at Winslow where an increased level of growth was linked to the provision of East-West Rail with a station at Winslow

Infrastructure to Support Vale of Aylesbury Plan 2012 - 2031

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
HEALTH SERVICES					
Acute					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Stoke Mandeville Hospital Development Control Plan (2007) Multi storey car park A & E Extension	Buckinghamshire Hospitals NHS Trust	To 2015 2013 – 2014 2012 - 2013	no contribution sought	Document looking at how services can be consolidated within existing and new buildings.
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)		Buckinghamshire Hospitals NHS Trust			Greenspace Design for Health and Well- being The guidance set out in this Practice Guide represents a step forward in our thinking about the benefits of the outdoors for health and well-being.
Long Term (2022 -)					
Primary					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	New Berryfields MDA Surgery to serve Berryfields & Weedon Hill MDAs	РСТ		no contribution sought	PCT bidding for site within Berryfields Local Centre
	Aylesbury - Extension to	PCT/GPs	2012 – 13	РСТ	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	Poplars Grove Surgery Aylesbury – Extension to	PCT/GPs	2012 – 13	РСТ	
	Mandeville Surgery Buckingham - London Road – site for new	Developers under S.106	2014 - 2016		Site/Building to be provided for rent
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	healthcare centre Health facility for	Developers S106/PCT (or			
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury East	replacement body)			
Long Term (2022 -)	 Proposals which result in more than 8,000 new residents (a patient list of four GPs) may require a new facility to be provided. On smaller residential development sites, and where the new development increases demand on existing community facilities, the need for new provision is likely to be replaced by extending the existing facilities. PCT are looking at a change in this existing practice in terms of seeking financial 				To be reviewed with relevant health authorities.

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	contributions based on population/patient estimates - using a model devised in Oxfordshire. The level of contributions that will be expected will depend upon the scale and type of the development proposed, and the amount, if any, of spare capacity in the local GP practice or practices.				
Mental Health					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Redevelopment of Manor House/Tindal Hospital Aylesbury	Oxfordshire Mental Health Trust	Work started mid 2012 – completion mid/end 2013		Replacement facility combining Manor House & Tindal Hospital on reduced site area no outside financial contribution sought – funded from realising development value on remainder of land holdings.
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
EDUCATION					
Higher					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury - University Technical College on Aylesbury College Campus (600 place)	Government funded	2013		At present no contributions sought
	Silverstone -University Technical College (now proposed in South Northants Council area) Buckingham University	Government funded/Silverstone/LEP			SMLEP Grant applied for
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Buckingham University				
Long Term (2022 -)					
Further					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					At present no contributions sought (related to Higher education above?)
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Long Term General Education Note					
Long Term (2022 -)	Currently, Education Infrastructure Requirements determined by BCC's adopted guidance "Children & Young People's Service				Awaiting information

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	Guidance on Planning				
	Obligations for Education		1		
	Provision" (adopted June				
	2010). The aim of this				
	guidance is to secure a				
	coherent and consistent				
	approach to ensuring that				
	developers provide or				
	make appropriate				
	contributions to meet the				
	costs of additional				
	education infrastructure				
	requirements generated				
	by new housing				
	developments. Need				
	assessment encompasses				
	Primary & Secondary				
	Provision (4-18 year olds)	;			
	Pre-School Provision (3-4				
	year olds); Children				
	Centres including Early				
	Years Provision (0-3 year				
	olds); & Special School				
	Provision. Where				
	developer contributions				
	are required, they are				
	calculated by multiplying				
	the number of children				
	likely to be generated by				
	the net dwellings from				
	the development by the				
	costs of providing				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	additional places. Tables				
	in the guidance are				
	provided for these				
	calculations to be made.				
	Education infrastructure				
	(including sports facilities)				
	required may include land				
	and buildings for a new				
	school or more typically				
	an extension to an				
	existing school to increase				
	the capacity of the school				
	to meet the needs of				
	additional pupils. BCC will				
	require a new school				
	where existing schools do				
	not have the scope to				
	expand or are unsuitable				
	to accommodate planned				
	development (e.g. pupils				
	would need to cross a				
	major road).				
Secondary					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury – New	Developers under S.106	To open		Awaiting
•	Aylesbury Vale Academy		September 2013		information
	Berryfields MDA				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury – Aylesbury	Developers S.106			Awaiting
	East- Serviced land for				information
	new Secondary School				
Long Term (2022 -)	Based on previous advice				Awaiting
	& practice a development				information

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	threshold of 5,000 dwellings requires a new secondary school.				
Primary					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury Weedon Hill (Buckingham Park) new school	Developers under S.106	To open September 2012		
	Aylesbury –Berryfields MDA – 420 places primary school	Developers under S.106	2013		
	Aylesbury East Primary School	Developers under S.106	2013 - 2016	£7m	
	Buckingham - London Road 210 place Primary School	Developers under S.106	September 2014		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA- second 420 places Primary School	Developers S.106	2017 - 2021		
	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East 1x primary school	Developers S.106	2017 - 2021	£7m	
Long Term (2022 -)	Based on previous advice & practice a development threshold of up to 1,400				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	dwellings requires a new primary school.				
Nursery & Early years					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA-52 place nursery in primary school	Developers under S.106	2013		
	Aylesbury East - Nursery/Crèche facility	Developers under S.106	2013 - 2016	£300K	
	Buckingham - London Road 26 place nursery with Primary School	Developers under S.106	Open September 2014		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA-52 place nursery in primary school	Developers S.106	2017 – 2021		
	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East 2x nursery/crèche facilities	Developers S.106	2017 - 2021	£600K	
Long Term (2022 -)	see general comments above but note that a development of over 2000 dwellings will generate the need for a Children's Centre (example given in guidance of a building of some 200 m2 and external area of 235 m2				
Extended Hours					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Specific community room in each new build school	Developers under S.106/BCC	2013 - 2016		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Specific community room in each new build school	Developers under S.106/BCC	2017 - 2021		
Long Term (2022 -)					
Special Schooling					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					Awaiting information
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	for developments over 200 dwellings an assessment will be made of the need to secure additional accommodation for pupils with special educational needs				
Long Term (2022 -)	As above				
16-19 Provision					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					Awaiting information
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					Awaiting information
Long Term (2022 -)					
Adult Learning					Awaiting information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Adult learning floorspace, probably provided within other community facilities				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Adult learning floorspace, probably provided within				

RVICE F	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
c	other community facilities				
ng Term (2022 -)					
MERGENCY SERVICES					
blice					
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Based on previous advice, the provision of on site facilities (shared with other service facilities if appropriate) in major developments are required. Thames Valley Police are also keen to expand "traditional contributions policy" to include revenue-type expenditure on areas such as additional PCSO's and other operational costs.				 *TVP has commented on the basis of what 6000 additional homes will mean to them in terms of infrastructure across the whole time period. For example, at Aylesbury an additional 3200 homes will mean that the cost of new equipment such as marked cars, funding for additional PCSO's, and alterations to Aylesbury Police Station is estimated to be £708.406.
*Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					Within the Aylesbury sub market and
					southern vale the additional 960
*Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
					homes will require
					items such as a
					mobile police station
					and mobile IT
					facilities plus new
					vehicles and other
					equipment such as
					ANPR cameras. This
					is estimated to be
					£305,310 during the
					plan period.
*Long Term (2022 -)					*For Haddenham
					and Wendover (130
					homes) the
					estimated cost for
					staff and equipment
					is £45,460.
					For Buckingham,
					Winslow and the
					northern Vale where
					the proposals
					accommodate 1705
					new homes the
					infrastructure
					requirements are 2
					patrol cars,
					additional PCSO,
					ANPR cameras,
					mobile IT facilities,
					bicycles and other
					equipment. The
					estimated cost of

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
					this is £375,608. This
					gives a total
					estimated cost to
					2031 of £1, 434,784
Fire					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Based on previous				
	considerations new				Awaiting
	building requirements				information
	flow from how close				
	proposed development				
	will be to the Stocklake				
	fire station and other				
	satellite fire stations to				
	determine the need for				
	additional facilities.				
	In order to maintain				
	efficient response				
	services, it is of vital				
	importance to maintain				
	water supplies and to				
	ensure the provision of				
	fire hydrants to service				
	new developments.				
	Fire suppression sprinkler				
	systems are				
	recommended especially				
	in the homes of				
	vulnerable persons and to				
	protect 'at risk' buildings				
	such as schools and				
	nursing homes.				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	As above				
Long Term (2022 -)					
Ambulance					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Based on previous advice 1 ambulance standby amenity point (ASAP) serviced space within commercial/retail area (car park)				Awaiting information
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
COMMUNITY FACILITIES					
Green Infrastructure / Strategic Open Space (2 - 500 ha)	ANGST requires community access to strategic open space. It defines four tiers of green space based on distance thresholds as outlined below. • 2ha+ accessible green space 300m of households • 20ha+ accessible green space 2km of households • 100ha+ accessible green				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	space 5km of households 500ha+ accessible green space 10km of households				
Short Term (2012 – 2016) Provision of local public open space 2ha+; 20ha+ Accessible Green Space New developments over 50 dwellings to provide sustainable public open space provision by the creation of at least 2ha (if required by council audit data) or provide additional open space to improve GI connectivity or/ and off site contribution.	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA: Riverside Park North (11.8ha) Riverside Park South (5.6ha) Roman Road Park (5.0ha) Aylesbury – Weedon Hill MDA: Riverside Linear Park; Community Park	Developers under S.106 Developers under S.106	2013 – 2016 2013 - 2015	£400K	
New developments over 100 dwellings to provide sustainable public open space by the creation of at 20ha (if required by council audit data) or provide additional open space to improve GI connectivity or/and off site contribution. At least 1ha of Local Nature	Aylesbury - Aylesbury East: Broughton Brook Park (1.8ha) Canal Park (PH1) (13.2ha)	Developers under S.106		£1.15m	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Reserve should be provided per 1,000 population					
Medium Term (2017 – 2021) Provision of 100ha+ Accessible Green Space	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East: Regional Wetlands Reserve (100ha) including Visitor Community Building	Developers under S.106	2017 - 2021	£4m	
Long Term (2022 -) Provision of 500ha+ Accessible Green Space or contribution to this tier	Aylesbury East		2022 -	£105,280	Expectation for this to be through a contribution towards existing off site provision – Chilterns/Wendover Woods.
Improved access to Strategic Green Infrastructure					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Grand Union Canal Triangle Aylesbury – Quarrendon SAM/Quarrendon Leas Vale Park Trees Please	Developers under S.106	2012 - 2016	Transfer Autumn 2012	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Grand Union Canal Triangle Aylesbury Linear Park	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA: Quarrendon Open Space	2017 – 2021 2017-2021		Improvements in consultation with approved Aylesbury Vale Green Infrastructure
		(7.9ha) Hardwick Brook Park (North & South 8.8ha) Ridgeline Park (East & West 12.6ha) Marston Brook Park (3.5ha)			Strategy 2011 – 2026. Contributions sought to improve existing corridors and GI such as Aylesbury Arm Canal or Wendover Arm Canal, Wendover
		Aylesbury – Aylesbury East: Railway Park (6.5ha) Canal Park (PH2) (5.1ha)	2017-2021	£1.85m	Woods, Aylesbury Linear Park
	Local Nature Reserves	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East (x2): Canal side North (20ha) Canal side South (15ha)	2017-2021		
	Wendover Woods				
Long Term (2022 -)	Bernwood Forest	Various	2022-		Contributions sought to improve
	Whaddon Chase	Various	2022-		and connect existing corridors, habitats by creating

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
					a 500ha+ publically
					accessible green
					space for the district.
Playing pitches					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury – Berryfields	Developers under	2013		Determined via the
	MDA:	S.106/BCC			Playing Pitch
	Joint use with Secondary				Strategy
	School				
		Developers under S.106	2014		
	Buckingham - London				
	Road – formal sports				
	ground with 2				
Madium Tama (2017 2021)	sports pitches Aylesbury East – allied to	Developers under S.106	2017 - 2021		Determined via the
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	secondary school First	Developers under 5.106	2017 - 2021		Playing Pitch
	phase of pitches (dual				Strategy
	public/private usage)				Strategy
Long Term (2022 -)					Determined via the
					Playing Pitch
					Strategy which takes
					into account local
					need and provision
					and the demand
					which the new
					development would
					place - either on site
					or through a
					contribution to off

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
					site provision and depend upon existing provision, capacity and the location of the development. The provision of grass pitches and play areas is in addition to the requirements for other open space provision. The provision in one location is subject to, the spatial area provided includes that required for open spaces plus play and sports pitches.
Children's Play - LEAPs					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury - Berryfields MDA (x2)	Developers under S.106	2013 – 2016	C120K	
	Aylesbury - Gatehouse Quarter	Developers under S.106	2013 - 2015	£120K	
	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East x2	Developers under S.106	2014	£100K	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	Buckingham – Moreton Road (x1 & MUGA)	Developers under S.106	2012 - 2013		
	Buckingham - London Road (x2)	Developers under S.106	2013 – 14		
	Winslow – Verney Road	Developers under S.106	2013 - 14		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury - Berryfields MDA (x6)	Developers under S.106	2017 – 2021		
	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East(x7)	Developers under S.106	2017 - 2021	£350K	
Long Term (2022 -)					Expect to have complete spatial coverage so that all householders have access to these as follows: LEAPS – 240m radius spatial coverage
Children's Play - NEAPs & MUGAs					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury - Weedon Hill MDA (x2 super NEAPS including skate park &	Developers under s.106	2013 - 2015	£200K	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	MUGA)				
	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA (x4)	Developers under s.106	2013 – 2016		
		Developers under s.106	2013 – 2016		
	Aylesbury (Bierton Parish) - Aylesbury East x1				
	,,	Developers under s.106	2014		
	Buckingham – London Road x1				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury - Berryfields MDA (x1& Skate Park)	Developers under S.106	2017 - 2021		
		Developers under S.106		£220K	
	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East(x2 including 1 MUGA)				
Long Term (2022 -)					Expect to have complete spatial coverage so that all householders have
					access to these as follows: NEAPS – 600m radius spatial coverage
Rights of Way					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Development of internal	Developers under			
	network in development	S.106/CIL			
	areas and connections to				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	existing network.				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Development of internal network in development areas and connections to existing network.	Developers CIL Contributions			
Long Term (2022 -)					
Community Centres					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury - Community Centre Weedon Hill (Buckingham Park)	Developers under S.106	complete and awaiting opening/transfer to Parish Council – Summer 2012	£1.2m	
	Aylesbury - Temporary Community Centre Berryfields MDA	Developers under S.106	On site Autumn 2012		
	Aylesbury – Berryfields MDA: Permanent facility within Secondary School		2013		
	Aylesbury – Review of our built facilities Aylesbury – Aylesbury East x1	AVDC Developers under S.106	2012/13	£300K	Outcome will determine future provision and facilities.
	Buckingham - London Road Community Centre/Pavilion (with	Developers under S.106	2014		

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	formal sports area)				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury – Aylesbury East (x2)	Developers under S.106		£800K	
Long Term (2022 -)					A requirement of 1 centre per 7000 population and may be on site or through an off site contribution.
Sports Centres and					
Swimming Pools					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury – Extension to Aqua Vale Swimming Pool	AVDC/AVCT/Developers under S.106	Completion Sept 2012	£6m	
	Leisure Management Contract Review Buckingham Swan Pool &	AVDC/winning Tenderer	2012 – 2013		Outcome will determine future provision and facilities.
	Leisure Centre– Synthetic Turf Pitch investment	AVDC	2012	£200K	Tuenties.
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Expect a financial contribution for off site provision towards sports	Developers CIL Contributions			Subject to Management contract above.
	halls and swimming pools				
Long Term (2022 -)					
Arts/Heritage/Entertainment					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	expect a financial contribution for off site provision towards arts				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	and entertainment facilities. We would expect the developer to provide public art on site.				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					Requirements subject to conclusions of AVDC Leisure & Cultural Facilities Study (late 2012)
Long Term (2022 -)					
Allotments	The requirement is for 9 plots per 1000 population.				
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury East				
Long Term (2022 -)					
Libraries					Awaiting information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Museums and Archives					Awaiting information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Archaeology					Awaiting information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)					
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Crematorium					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	New Crematorium	Private/Local Crematorium Board		no contribution sought	
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Burial Grounds					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Contributions based on local need assessment				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
SOCIAL CARE					
Adult Social Care					Awaiting information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Currently no contributions sought on major developments. Previously				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	BCC included a				
	requirements for facilities				
	such as Units for older				
	people, mental health,				
	learning disability, physical and sensory				
	disability, people at risk of				
	homelessness and day				
	services				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Youth and Community					Awaiting
Services					information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	As above				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Children and Young People					Awaiting information
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	As above				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury – Aylesbury	Developers under S.106		£500K	
	East – 1x children's centre				
Long Term (2022 -)					
WASTE					
Refuse collection and					
Recycling					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury Waste & Recycling Depot redevelopment - to accommodate the transfer requirements of Council's new waste & recycling collection services – commencing 4 Sept 2012. North AVDC – Waste Transfer Facility – holding recycling material for collection	AVDC AVDC/Private Contractor	commenced 2012 – completion 2013 2013	£3.65m	To review after 10 years or when changes to waste collection legislation require a review.
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	To review after 10 years or when changes to waste collection legislation require a review.				
Long Term (2022 -)					
Waste Disposal					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Calvert - Energy from Waste Plant Bio Mass Plant	Private Contractor/BCC Private Contractor/BCC	2015		Waste management facilities including Household Waste Recycling Centres (HWRC), Residual Waste Transfer Stations (WTS) and residual waste treatment facilities.
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Long Term (2022 -)					
AFFORDABLE HOUSING					
Affordable Housing					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Target 35% as per adopted Council Policy – subject to viability assessment. (Note negotiated on a separate basis to other infrastructure).				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
TRANSPORT					
Roads					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury - Western Link Road (A41 – A413); Aylesbury - Eastern Link Road Part (A418 - Stocklake Link Road) Stocklake Link Road (Eastern Link Road to Oakfield Road) including new junction to Oakfield Road (A4157) (commencement)	Developers under S.106s Developers under S.106.	2013/2014	£23m	
	Aylesbury - Signalisation	Developers under S.106		£100K	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	of the Broughton Lane Canal Bridge (Aylesbury East),		2013/14		
		Developers under S.106s	5		
	Aylesbury - Improvemer to A41 Tring Road/A415	nts			
	Oakfield Road junction programmed in with Arl		2013/14		
	Development	ВСС			
	Develop Aylesbury, Buckingham & Winslow		Available 2013		Outcome will
	transport strategies infrastructure schedules				determine future requirements and
		BCC/CIL			specific schemes. Buckingham specific
	Aylesbury Inner Relief Road Improvements including above strategi	es BCC/CIL	2014 onwards		proposals likely to be included as part of Neighbourhood Plan work.
	Town wide contribution for junction improveme in line with Aylesbury strategy linked as above	nt	Spring 2013		
	Subject to further input from BCC		Over 5 year period		

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury - Eastern Link Road Part (A418 - Stocklake Link Road) Stocklake Link Road (Eastern Link Road to Oakfield Road) including new junction to Oakfield Road (A4157) (completion)	Developers under S.106 BCC/CIL	2018		
	Aylesbury – Remainder of Eastern Link Road (Canal Crossing & Link to Woodlands Roundabout on A41).	Developers/BCC/CIL/other BCC/CIL	2015 – 2021 2017 – 2021	£12 - £25m subject to BCC infrastructure list	Estimate based on section north of canal
	Aylesbury - Stocklake Improvement Town wide contribution for junction improvement in line with Aylesbury strategy	BCC/CIL	Awaiting BCC	Up to £10.	Estimate based on previous design work
	Silverstone – new access to A43 (in South Northants Council area).	Silverstone			

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Long Term (2022 -)	(continue) Town wide contribution for improvement in line with Aylesbury strategy Buckingham - A421 improvements Buckingham – MK A418 Improvements Aylesbury - Linslade Bypass.			potential total cost of £60M potential total cost of £80m	
Public Transport					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Various - Support for local bus services – for 3 - 5 year periods.	Developers under S.106s	2012 – 2015		
	Provision of high quality bus routes serving developments. Provision of Real Time Passenger Information and bus shelters (as provided on Aylesbury Hub); Bus gates into surrounding development; Sustainable towns contribution.	Developers under S.106/BCC/CIL	2012 - 2015		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Provision of high quality E/W bus route through				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	Aylesbury linking ARLA and Berryfields Parkway railway station. Provision of Real Time Passenger Information and bus shelters (as provided on Aylesbury Hub); Bus gates into surrounding development; Sustainable towns contribution; Support for local bus service - over 5 years.				
Long Term (2022 -)	New bus station Aylesbury;			£25m	
Cycle/Walking					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	New signal Controlled pedestrian crossing A41 Tring Road	ALUTS funds/BCC	2012/2013		
	Aylesbury - Canal towpath improvements – programmed in with Aylesbury East/Arla Developments. Buckingham - London	Developers under S.106s	2013 - 2016		
	Road – new signal controlled crossings (x2)	Developers under S.106s			

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	across London Road &				
	A421 Buckingham Bypass.				
	Develop internal				
	development network	Developers under			
	and provide/develop	S.106s/TfB/AVDC			
	linkages to existing				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	networks; Develop internal	Developers under			
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	development network	CIL/TfB/AVDC			
	and provide/develop				
	linkages to existing				
(2022.)	networks;				
Long Term (2022 -)					
Urban Traffic Management					
Aylesbury					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	2 new UTMC Cameras,	ALUTS funds/BCC	2012/2013	£50K	
	Tring Road/Cambridge Street				
	Street				
	(see also Aylesbury				
	Strategy above)				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Rail					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	East West Rail –Bicester -	Government funding as	Commence	£270m +	Amount of Local
	Winslow - MK &	part of HLOS – new rail	works 2014/15	120m add on	Contribution not
	Aylesbury - Princes Risborough. Includes	schemes over next five years. Local Contributions	and completion 2017/18 – see	for electrification	specified
	Nishorongri, Iliciunes	years. Local Contributions	2011/10-266	electrification	

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
	New station at Winslow – (Commencement)	from Local authorities/CIL	medium programme	of Oxford to Bedford Section.	
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	East West Rail - Aylesbury - Winslow - MK & Aylesbury - Princes Risborough. New station at Winslow. Services open & running	EWR Consortium/DfT/Network Rail	2017		
Long Term (2022 -)	Aylesbury – Marylebone Chiltern Line Rail Improvements				
AYLESBURY TOWN CENTRE					
Supporting Developments					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Supermarket & Hotel Building & Associated Car Park Exchange Street	AVDC	Completion mid 2013		
	(Academy) education Waterside	AVDC			
	Retail development/Restaurant Hubs	AVDC/Development partner	Extend into medium/long term		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Retail development/Restaurant Hubs	AVDC/Development partner	Extend into long term		
Long Term (2022 -)					
Environmental					
enhancements					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Public Realm	AVDC/CIL			
	enhancements around				
	Theatre/Canal Basin area				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Contributions to				Awaiting
	pedestrian priority				information
	schemes in town centre				
Long Term (2022 -)					
TELECOMS					
High Speed Broadband					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Government policy to		2012 - 2015		
	deliver super fast				
	broadband to all				
	communities by 2015.				
	Continue to work with				
	providers on roll out of				
	Broadband with new				
	developments & upgrade				
	across District				
	(Partnership activity with				
	BTVLEP, BT and				
	Openreach continuing).				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	- continue to work with				
	providers on roll out of				
	Broadband with new				
	developments & upgrade				
	across District.				
Long Term (2022 -)					
3G/4G mobile phone					
coverage					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	As broadband				

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	As broadband				
Long Term (2022 -)					
UTILITIES					
Sewage Treatment					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury improvements programmed and funded				
	Buckingham - contributions required for improvements	Developers			
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Main Drainage					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Roll out with development				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Mains Water					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Roll out with development				
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Electricity					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Bishopstone – Primary Electrical Sub Station	Utility provider			Based on current negotiations on major development
	Aylesbury - New sub station in Telford Way to support Berryfields & TW Sewage works expansion programme (application submitted July 2012)	Utility Provider			sites - roll out with development – no contribution sought.
	Aylesbury - New connection Aylesbury Town Centre to Bicester Road	Utility provider	Mid 2012		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					
Gas					
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	General Improvement/upgrade programme across town & connections to new developments – Weedon Hill/Berryfields/Aylesbury East Roll out with development	Utility provider	On-going programme		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)					
Long Term (2022 -)					

SERVICE	Project	Who delivers	When	Cost	Commentary
Flood defences	Improve water resource and flood management including sustainable drainage systems.				
Short Term (2012 – 2016)	Aylesbury East - Flood Management System	Developers/S106	2013 - 2016		
Medium Term (2017 – 2021)	Aylesbury East - Flood Management System	Developers/S106	2017 - 2021		
Long Term (2022 -)					